

On Codimension Two Subvarieties in Hypersurfaces

N. Mohan Kumar

Department of Mathematics
Washington University in St. Louis
St. Louis, Missouri, 63130 USA
`kumar@wustl.edu`
`http://www.math.wustl.edu/~kumar`

A. P. Rao

Department of Mathematics
University of Missouri-St. Louis
St. Louis, Missouri 63121 USA
`rao@arch.ums1.edu`

G. V. Ravindra

Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Science
Bangalore 560012, India
`ravindra@math.iisc.ernet.in`

Dedicated to Spencer Bloch

Abstract. We show that for a smooth hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree at least 2, there exist arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (ACM) codimension two subvarieties $Y \subset X$ which are not an intersection $X \cap S$ for a codimension two subvariety $S \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. We also show there exist $Y \subset X$ as above for which the normal bundle sequence for the inclusion $Y \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ does not split.

1 Introduction

In this note, we revisit some questions of Griffiths and Harris from 1985 [GH]:

Questions (Griffiths and Harris) Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a general hypersurface of degree $d \geq 6$ and $C \subset X$ be a curve.

1. Is the degree of C a multiple of d ?
2. Is $C = X \cap S$ for some surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^4$?

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F05; Secondary.

Key words and phrases. Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay subvarieties, ACM vector bundles.
We thank the referee for pointing out some relevant references.

The motivation for these questions comes from trying to extend the Noether-Lefschetz theorem for surfaces to threefolds. Recall that the Noether-Lefschetz theorem states that if X is a very general surface of degree $d \geq 4$ in \mathbb{P}^3 , then $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$, and hence every curve C on X is the complete intersection of X and another surface S .

C. Voisin very soon [Vo] proved that the second question had a negative answer by constructing counter-examples on any smooth hypersurface of degree at least 2. She also considered a third question:

Question With the same terminology and when C is smooth:

3. Does the exact sequence of normal bundles associated to the inclusions $C \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$:

$$0 \rightarrow N_{C/X} \rightarrow N_{C/\mathbb{P}^4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(d) \rightarrow 0$$

split?

Her counter-examples provided a negative answer to this question as well. The first question, the Degree Conjecture of Griffiths-Harris, is still open. Strong evidence for this conjecture was provided by some elementary but ingenious examples of Kollár ([BCC], Trento examples). In particular he shows that if $\gcd(d, 6) = 1$ and $d \geq 4$ and X is a very general hypersurface of degree d^2 in \mathbb{P}^4 , then every curve on X has degree a multiple of d . In the same vein, van Geemen shows that if $d > 1$ is an odd number and X is a very general hypersurface of degree $54d$, then every curve on X has degree a multiple of $3d$.

The main result of this note is the existence of a large class of counterexamples which subsumes Voisin's counterexamples and places them in the context of arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (ACM) vector bundles on X . It is well known that ACM bundles which are not sums of line bundles can be found on any hypersurface of degree at least 2 [BGS], and for such a bundle, say of rank r , on X , ACM subvarieties of codimension two can be created on X by considering the dependency locus of $r - 1$ general sections. These subvarieties fail to satisfy Questions 2 and 3. We will be working on hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n for any $n \geq 4$ and our constructions of ACM subvarieties may not give smooth ones. Hence in Question 3, we will consider the splitting of the conormal sheaf sequence instead.

2 Main results

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$ and let $Y \subset X$ be a codimension 2 subscheme. Recall that Y is said to be an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (ACM) subscheme of X if $H^i(X, I_{Y/X}(\nu)) = 0$ for $0 < i \leq \dim Y$ and for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, a vector bundle E on X is said to be ACM if $H^i(X, E(\nu)) = 0$ for $i \neq 0, \dim X$ and for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X and let $s_i \in H^0(\mathcal{F}(m_i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, be generators for the $\oplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\nu))$ -graded module $\oplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathcal{F}(\nu))$. These sections give a surjection $\oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X(-m_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ which induces a surjection of global section $\oplus_{i=1}^k H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\nu - m_i)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}(\nu))$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Applying this to the ideal sheaf $I_{Y/X}$ of an ACM subscheme of codimension 2 in X , we obtain the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X(-m_i) \rightarrow I_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0,$$

where G is some ACM sheaf on X of rank $k-1$. Since Y is ACM as a subscheme of X , it is also ACM as a subscheme of \mathbb{P}^n . In particular, Y is locally Cohen-Macaulay. Hence G is a vector bundle by the Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem (see [Mat] page 155). We will loosely say that G is associated to Y .

Conversely, the following Bertini type theorem which goes back to arguments of Kleiman in [Kl] (see also [Ban]) shows that given an ACM bundle G on X , we can use G to construct ACM subvarieties Y of codimension 2 in X :

Proposition 2.1 (*Kleiman*). *Given a bundle G of rank $k-1$ on X , a general map $G \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X(m_i)$ for sufficiently large m_i will determine the ideal sheaf (up to twist) of a subvariety Y of codimension 2 in X with a resolution of sheaves:*

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X(m_i) \rightarrow I_{Y/X}(m) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the conclusion of Question 2 implies that of Question 3, we will look at just Question 3, in the conormal sheaf version.

Let X be a hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{P}^n defined by the equation $f = 0$. Let X_2 be the thickening of X defined by $f^2 = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^n . Given a subvariety Y of codimension 2 in X , let $I_{Y/\mathbb{P}}$ (resp. $I_{Y/X}$) denote the ideal sheaf of $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ (resp. $Y \subset X$). The conormal sheaf sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-d) \rightarrow I_{Y/\mathbb{P}}/I_{Y/\mathbb{P}}^2 \rightarrow I_{Y/X}/I_{Y/X}^2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.2 *For the inclusion $Y \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, if the sequence of conormal sheaves (2.1) splits, then there exists a subscheme $Y_2 \subset X_2$ containing Y such that*

$$I_{Y_2/X_2}(-d) \xrightarrow{f} I_{Y_2/X_2} \rightarrow I_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Furthermore, $fI_{Y_2/X_2}(-d) = I_{Y/X}(-d)$.

Proof Suppose sequence (2.1) splits: then we have a surjection

$$I_{Y/\mathbb{P}} \twoheadrightarrow I_{Y/\mathbb{P}}/I_{Y/\mathbb{P}}^2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-d)$$

where the first map is the natural quotient map and the second is the splitting map for the sequence. The kernel of this composition defines a scheme Y_2 in \mathbb{P}^n . Since this kernel $I_{Y_2/\mathbb{P}}$ contains $I_{Y/\mathbb{P}}^2$ and hence f^2 , it is clear that $Y \subset Y_2 \subset X_2$.

The splitting of (2.1) also means that $f \in I_{Y/\mathbb{P}}(d)$ maps to $1 \in \mathcal{O}_Y$. We get the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & I_{Y_2/\mathbb{P}} & \rightarrow & I_{Y/\mathbb{P}} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Y(-d) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow f^2 & & \uparrow f & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2d) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-d) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(-d) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

This induces

$$0 \rightarrow I_{Y/X}(-d) \rightarrow I_{Y_2/X_2} \rightarrow I_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, note that $I_{Y/X}(-d)$ is the image of the multiplication map $f : I_{Y_2/X_2}(-d) \rightarrow I_{Y_2/X_2}$. \square

Now assume that Y is an ACM subvariety on X of codimension 2. The ideal sheaf of Y in X has a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X(-m_i) \rightarrow I_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0,$$

for some ACM bundle G on X associated to Y .

Lemma 2.3 *Suppose the conditions of the previous lemma hold, and in addition Y is an ACM subvariety. Then there is an extension of the ACM bundle G (associated to Y) on X to a bundle \mathcal{G} on X_2 . ie. there is a vector bundle \mathcal{G} on X_2 such that the multiplication map $f : \mathcal{G}(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ induces the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof Since Y is ACM, $H^1(I_{Y/X}(-d + \nu)) = 0, \forall \nu$, hence in the sequence stated in the previous lemma, the right hand map is surjective on the level of sections. Therefore, the map $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X(-m_i) \rightarrow I_{Y/X}$ can be lifted to a map $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(-m_i) \rightarrow I_{Y_2/X_2}$. Since a global section of $I_{Y_2/X_2}(\nu)$ maps to zero in $I_{Y/X}$ only if it is a multiple of f , by Nakayama's lemma, this lift is surjective at the level of global sections in different twists, and hence on the level of sheaves. Hence there is a commuting diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ I_{Y_2/X_2}(-d) & \rightarrow & I_{Y_2/X_2} & \rightarrow & I_{Y/X} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(-m_i - d) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(-m_i) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X(-m_i) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ \mathcal{G}(-d) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \end{array}$$

where the sheaf \mathcal{G} is defined as the kernel of the lift, and the map from the left column to the middle column is multiplication by f . It is easy to verify that the lowest row induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0.$$

By Nakayama's lemma, \mathcal{G} is a vector bundle on X_2 . □

Proposition 2.4 *Let E be an ACM bundle on X . If E extends to a bundle \mathcal{E} on X_2 , then E is a sum of line bundles.*

Proof There is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$, where the left hand map is induced by multiplication by f on \mathcal{E} . Let $F_0 = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a_i) \rightarrow E$ be a surjection induced by the minimal generators of E . Since E is ACM, this lifts to a map $F_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. This lift is surjective on global sections by Nakayama's lemma (since the sections of \mathcal{E} which are sent to 0 in E are multiples of f). Thus we have

a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & E(-d) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & F_1 & \rightarrow & F_0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & G_1 & \rightarrow & F_0 & \rightarrow & E \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & E(-d) & & & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

G_1 and F_1 are sums of line bundles on \mathbb{P}^n by Horrocks' Theorem. Furthermore, $G_1 \cong F_0(-d)$. Thus $0 \rightarrow F_0(-d) \xrightarrow{\Phi} F_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ is a minimal resolution for E on \mathbb{P}^n . As a consequence of this, one checks that $\det \Phi = f^{\text{rank } E}$. On the other hand, the degree of $\det \Phi = d \text{rank } F_0$ and so we have $\text{rank } F_0 = \text{rank } E$. Restricting, this resolution to X , we get a surjection $F_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E$. The ranks of both vector bundles being the same, this implies that this is an isomorphism. \square

Corollary 2.5 *Let $Y \subset X$ be a codimension 2 ACM subvariety. If the conormal sheaf sequence (2.1) splits, then*

- the ACM bundle G associated to Y is a sum of line bundles,
- there is a codimension 2 subvariety S in \mathbb{P}^n such that $Y = X \cap S$.

Proof The first statement follows from Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.4. For the second statement, since the bundle G associated to Y is a sum of line bundles $\oplus_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{O}_X(-l_i)$ on X , the map $G \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X(-m_i)$ can be lifted to a map $\oplus_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-l_i) \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-m_i)$. The determinantal variety S of codimension 2 in \mathbb{P}^n determined by this map has the property that $Y = X \cap S$. \square

In conclusion, we obtain the following collection of counterexamples:

Corollary 2.6 *If G is an ACM bundle on X which is not a sum of line bundles, and if Y is a subvariety of codimension 2 in X constructed from G as in Proposition 2.1, then Y does not satisfy the conclusion of either Question 2 or Question 3.*

Buchweitz-Greuel-Schreyer have shown [BGS] that any hypersurface of degree at least 2 supports (usually many) non-split ACM bundles. We will give another construction in the next section.

3 Remarks

3.1 The infinitesimal Question 3 was treated by studying the extension of the bundle to the thickened hypersurface X_2 . This method goes back to Ellingsrud, Gruson, Peskine and Strømme [EGPS]. If we are not interested in the infinitesimal Question 3, but just in the more geometric Question 2, a geometric argument gives an even easier proof of the existence of codimension 2 ACM subvarieties $Y \subset X$ which are not of the form $Y = X \cap Z$ for some codimension 2 subvariety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let E be an ACM bundle on a hypersurface X in \mathbb{P}^n which extends to a sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^n ; i.e. there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-d) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Then E is a sum of line bundles.

Proof At each point p on X , over the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P},p}$ the sheaf \mathcal{E} is free, of the same rank as E . Hence \mathcal{E} is locally free except at finitely many points. Let \mathbb{H} be a general hyperplane not passing through these points. Let $X' = X \cap \mathbb{H}$, and \mathcal{E}', E' be the restrictions of \mathcal{E}, E to \mathbb{H}, X' .

It is enough to show that E' is a sum of line bundles on X' . This is because any isomorphism $\oplus \mathcal{O}_{X'}(a_i) \rightarrow E'$ can be lifted to an isomorphism $\oplus \mathcal{O}_X(a_i) \rightarrow E$, as $H^1(E(\nu)) = 0, \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. The bundle E' on X' is ACM and from the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow 0,$$

it is easy to check that $H^i(\mathcal{E}'(\nu)) = 0, \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. Since \mathcal{E}' is a vector bundle on \mathbb{H} , we can dualize the sequence to get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'^\vee(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'^\vee \rightarrow E'^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

E'^\vee is still an ACM bundle, hence $H^i(\mathcal{E}'^\vee(\nu)) = 0, \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $2 \leq i \leq n-2$.

By Serre duality, we conclude that \mathcal{E}' is an ACM bundle on \mathbb{H} , and by Horrocks' theorem, \mathcal{E}' is a sum of line bundles. Hence, its restriction E' is also a sum of line bundles on X' . \square

Proposition 3.2 *Let Y be an ACM subvariety of codimension 2 in the hypersurface X such that the associated ACM bundle G is not a sum of line bundles. Then there is no pure subvariety Z of codimension 2 in \mathbb{P}^n such that $Z \cap X = Y$.*

Proof Suppose there is such a Z . Then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_{Z/\mathbb{P}}(-d) \rightarrow I_{Z/\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow I_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0$, where the inclusion is multiplication by f , the polynomial defining X . Since Z has no embedded points, $H^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}}(\nu)) = 0$ for $\nu \ll 0$. Combining this with $H^1(I_{Y/X}(\nu)) = 0, \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, and using the long exact sequence of cohomology, we get $H^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}}(\nu)) = 0, \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose Y has the resolution $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-m_i) \rightarrow I_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0$. From the vanishing just proved, the right hand map can be lifted to a map $\oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-m_i) \rightarrow I_{Z/\mathbb{P}}$, which is easily checked to be surjective (at the level of global sections). It follows that if \mathcal{G} is the kernel of this lift, \mathcal{G} is an extension of G to \mathbb{P}^n . By the previous proposition, G is a sum of line bundles. This is a contradiction. \square

3.2 Voisin's original example was as follows. Let P_1 and P_2 be two planes meeting at a point p in \mathbb{P}^4 . The union Σ is a surface which is not locally Cohen-Macaulay at p . Let X be a smooth hypersurface of degree $d > 1$ which passes through p . $X \cap \Sigma$ is a curve Z in X with an embedded point at p . The reduced subscheme Y has the form $Y = C_1 \cup C_2$, where C_1 and C_2 are plane curves. Voisin argues that Y itself does not have the form $X \cap S$ for any surface S in \mathbb{P}^4 .

We can treat this example from the point of view of ACM bundles. $I_{Z/X}$ has a resolution on X which is just the restriction of the resolution of the ideal of the union $P_1 \cup P_2$ in \mathbb{P}^4 , viz.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-4) \rightarrow 4\mathcal{O}_X(-3) \rightarrow 4\mathcal{O}_X(-2) \rightarrow I_{Z/X} \rightarrow 0.$$

From the sequence $0 \rightarrow I_{Z/X} \rightarrow I_{Y/X} \rightarrow k_p \rightarrow 0$, it is easy to see that Y is ACM, with a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 4\mathcal{O}_X(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-d) \rightarrow I_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0.$$

G is an ACM bundle. If it were a sum of line bundles, comparing the two resolutions, we find that $h^0(G(2)) = 0$ and $h^0(G(3)) = 4$, hence $G = 4\mathcal{O}_X(-3)$. But then

$G \rightarrow 4\mathcal{O}_X(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-d)$ cannot be an inclusion. Thus G is an ACM bundle which is not a sum of line bundles.

Voisin's subsequent smooth examples were obtained by placing Y on a smooth surface T contained in X and choosing divisors Y' in the linear series $|Y + mH|$ on T . When m is large, Y' can be chosen smooth. In fact, such curves Y' are doubly linked to the original curve Y in X , hence they have a similar resolution $G' \rightarrow L \rightarrow I_{D'/X} \rightarrow 0$, where L is a sum of line bundles and where G' equals G up to a twist and a sum of line bundles.

The fact that G above is not a sum of line bundles is related (via the mapping cone of the map of resolutions) to the fact that k_p itself cannot have a finite resolution by sums of line bundles on X . This follows from the following proposition which provides another argument for the existence of ACM bundles on arbitrary smooth hypersurfaces of degree ≥ 2 .

Proposition 3.3 *Let X be a smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n of degree ≥ 2 with homogeneous coordinated ring S_X . Let L be a linear space (possibly a point or even empty) inside X of codimension r , with homogeneous ideal $I(L)$ in S_X . A free presentation of $I(L)$ of length $r - 2$ will have a kernel whose sheafification is an ACM bundle on X which is not a sum of line bundles.*

Proof It should first be understood that the homogeneous ideal $I(L)$ of the empty linear space will be taken as the irrelevant ideal (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) . Let the free presentation of $I(L)$ together with the kernel be

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_{r-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow I(L) \rightarrow 0,$$

where F_i are free graded S_X modules. Its sheafification looks like

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{r-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tilde{F}_0 \rightarrow I_{L/X} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since L is locally Cohen-Macaulay, \tilde{M} is a vector bundle on X , and since L is ACM, so is \tilde{M} . M equals $\oplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\tilde{M}(\nu))$. Hence, \tilde{M} is a sum of line bundles only if M is a free S_X module.

If \mathbb{H} is a general hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^n which meets X and L transversally along $X_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $L_{\mathbb{H}}$ respectively, the above sequences of modules and sheaves can be restricted to give similar sequences in \mathbb{H} . The restriction $\tilde{M}_{\mathbb{H}}$ is an ACM bundle on $X_{\mathbb{H}}$.

Repeat this successively to find a maximal and general linear space \mathbb{P} in \mathbb{P}^n which does not meet L . If $X' = X \cap \mathbb{P}$, the restriction of the sequence of S_X modules to X' gives a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow F'_{r-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F'_0 \rightarrow S_{X'} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0.$$

Localize this sequence of graded $S_{X'}$ modules at the irrelevant ideal $I(L) \cdot S_{X'}$, to look at its behaviour at the vertex of the affine cone over X' . k is the residue field of this local ring. Since X and hence X' has degree ≥ 2 , the cone is not smooth at the vertex. By Serre's theorem ([Se], IV-C-3-Cor 2), k cannot have finite projective dimension over this local ring. Hence M' is not a free module. Therefore neither is M . \square

3.3 We make a few concluding remarks about Question 1, the Degree Conjecture of Griffiths and Harris. A vector bundle G on a smooth hypersurface X in \mathbb{P}^4 has a second Chern class $c_2(G) \in A^2(X)$, the Chow group of codimension 2 cycles. If $h \in A^1(X)$ is the class of the hyperplane section of X , the degree of any

element $c \in A^2(X)$ will be defined to be the degree of the zero cycle $c \cdot h \in A^3(X)$. (Note that by the Lefschetz theorem, all classes in $A^1(X)$ are multiples of h .)

With this notation, if E is any bundle on X and Y is a curve obtained from E with the sequence (*vide* Proposition 2.1)

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X(m_i) \rightarrow I_{Y/X}(m) \rightarrow 0,$$

a calculation tells us that the degree d of X divides the degree of Y if and only if d divides the degree of $c_2(E)$.

More generally: let Y be any curve in X and resolve $I_{Y/X}$ to get

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^l \mathcal{O}_X(b_i) \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X(a_i) \rightarrow I_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0,$$

where E is an ACM bundle on X . Then a similar calculation tells us that the degree d of X divides the degree of Y if and only if d divides the degree of $c_2(E)$.

Hence we may ask the following question which is equivalent to the Degree Conjecture:

ACM Degree Conjecture If X is a general hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 of degree $d \geq 6$, then for any indecomposable ACM vector bundle E on X , d divides the degree of $c_2(E)$.

The examples created above in Proposition 3.3 satisfy this, when L has codimension > 2 in X . In [MRR], this conjecture is settled for ACM bundles of rank 2 on X .

References

- [BCC] Ballico, E.; Catanese, F.; Ciliberto, C. (Editors) *Classification of irregular varieties. Minimal models and abelian varieties*, Proceedings of the conference held in Trento, December 17–21, 1990. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1515. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. vi+149 pp.
- [Ban] Bănică, C., *Smooth reflexive sheaves*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 36 (1991), no. 9–10, 571–593.
- [BGS] Buchweitz, R.-O.; Greuel, G.-M.; Schreyer, F.-O., *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities. II*, Invent. Math. 88 (1987), no. 1, 165–182.
- [EGPS] Ellingsrud, G. ; Gruson, L. ; Peskine, C. ; Strømme, S. A., *On the normal bundle of curves on smooth projective surfaces*, Invent. Math. 80 (1985), no. 1, 181–184.
- [GH] Griffiths, Phillip; Harris, Joseph., *On the Noether-Lefschetz theorem and some remarks on codimension-two cycles*, Math. Ann. 271 (1985), no. 1, 31–51.
- [Kl] Kleiman, Steven L. *Geometry on Grassmannians and applications to splitting bundles and smoothing cycles*, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 36 1969 281–297.
- [Mat] Matsumura, H., *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986.
- [MRR] Mohan Kumar, N.; Rao, A. P.; Ravindra, G. V., *Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles on three dimensional hypersurfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2007, no. 8, Art. ID rnm025, 11 pp.
- [Se] Serre, J.-P., *Algèbre locale. Multiplicités*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 11 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1965 vii+188 pp.
- [Vo] Voisin, Claire., *Sur une conjecture de Griffiths et Harris*, Algebraic curves and projective geometry (Trento, 1988), 270–275, Lecture Notes in Math., 1389, Springer, Berlin, 1989.